Deoxygenation of Unhindered Alcohols via Reductive Dealkylation of Derived Phosphate Esters

Sarwat Chowdhury^{†,⊥} and Robert F. Standaert*,^{‡,§}

† Department of Chemistr[y,](#page-5-0) The University of Illinois at C[hic](#page-5-0)ago, 845 West Taylor Street, Chicago, Illinois 60304, United States ‡ Biosciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, PO Box 2008, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6445, United States § Department of Biochemistry and Cellular & Molecular Biology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, United States

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [Primary alcoh](#page-5-0)ols can be deoxygenated cleanly and in yields of 60−95% by reduction of derived diphenyl phosphate esters with lithium triethylborohydride in tetrahydrofuran at room temperature. Selective deoxygenation of a primary alcohol in the presence of a secondary alcohol was demonstrated. The two-step process can be performed in one pot, making it simple and convenient.

Deoxygenation of alcohols is a common and valuable
synthetic procedure.^{1−4} The most widely used methods for accomplishing this transformation fall into two categories, radical and nucleophilic, [w](#page-6-0)i[th](#page-6-0) largely complementary applications. Radical deoxygenations are typified by the Barton− $McCombie reaction₅ which involves free-radical chain$ reduction of a thiocarbonyl derivative of the alcohol. The process is mild, efficie[n](#page-6-0)t, and compatible with a wide array of functional groups. As such, it has found many applications, and numerous modifications of the basic approach have been reported over the years.^{1−4,3−9} It is most suited to secondary alcohols but has also found application to tertiary $10,11$ and primary^{12−14} alcohols. [The](#page-6-0) [la](#page-6-0)tter typically require elevated temperatures, and clever alternatives have been intr[oduce](#page-6-0)d in which t[he](#page-6-0) [int](#page-6-0)ermediate primary radical is generated at ambient or lower temperature through decomposition of monoalkyl diazenes, 15 or more recently, by photochemical reduction of alkyl bromides,¹⁶ iodides,¹⁷ or 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl) b enzoat[es](#page-6-0) 18 using precious metal catalysts.

Nucleophilic [deo](#page-6-0)xygenati[ons](#page-6-0) typically employ a first- or second-ro[w](#page-6-0) metal hydride reagent, such as lithium aluminum hydride or lithium triethylborohydride, to reduce an activated derivative of the alcohol (halide or sulfonate ester).^{19−21} These reactions are complementary to radical methods in that they are most efficient for unhindered primary alcohols, an[d thei](#page-6-0)r main limitation is incompatibility with reducible functional groups. Hydrosilanes, in combination with Brønsted or Lewis acids, have proven to be effective nucleophiles for the deoxygenation of alcohols.22−²⁴ Hydrosilane reductions typically proceed through the $S_{N}1$ mechanism and are hence effective only for tertiary, sec[ondar](#page-6-0)y, or benzylic alcohols. The introduction of tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane as a catalyst extended the scope of the reaction to primary alcohols and ethers, which are presumed to react by an S_N^2 -like mechanism,^{25,26} and a copper catalyst has been proven effective for reduction of triflates and iodides derived from primary or secondary a[lcoh](#page-6-0)ols.²⁷

Our exploration of a new method ensued from our fortuitous discovery that lithium trialkylborohydrides (triethyl or trisbutyl) rapidly dealkylate phosphonic diesters through reduction at carbon via a presumed S_N2 mechanism, with no indication of reaction at phosphorus.²⁸ Exclusively monodealkylated products were obtained, and over reduction was not observed even after addition of excess [hy](#page-6-0)dride reagent and prolonged stirring. This observation immediately suggests the possibility of using phosphonates as intermediates for the deoxygenation of alcohols, and we expected that phosphate esters might prove more reactive due to the weaker basicity of the leaving group (Scheme 1). For this study we chose the triethyl reagent because of its less hindered steric environment and exceptional nucleophilicity.²⁰

Scheme 1. De[ox](#page-6-0)ygenation of Alcohols via Reduction of Derived Phosphate Esters

Phosphate triesters are easily obtained through derivatization of alcohols. Implementation of our scheme required that the groups introduced through phosphorylation be refractory to S_{N2} substitution, such that regioselective dealkylation could be effected at the desired site. Two candidates were initially considered, diphenyl phosphates (1) and pinacol phosphates (2) (Figure 1). Of these, the former were judged preferable due to their stability and ease of synthesis from inexpensive, readily avail[able diph](#page-1-0)enyl chlorophosphate (3).

Phosphorus derivatives have been used to activate alcohols for subsequent deoxygenation by radical and single-electron transfer processes. For example, phosphites can undergo Barton-McCombie deoxygenation²⁹ and diphenyl phosphinates

Received: July 14, 2016 Published: September 15, 2016

Figure 1. Phosphate esters amenable for deoxygenation (1 and 2) and an inexpensive phosphorylating reagent (3).

can be electrochemically deoxygenated through an analogous pathway.³⁰ Phosphate esters and related species have been used to activate phenols, enols, and alcohols for subsequent reductio[n u](#page-6-0)sing alkalai metals.31−³⁴ Less work has been done in the area of nucleophilic processes. Diethyl alkylphosphonates can be de-ethylated by Et₃SiH [in](#page-6-0) [con](#page-6-0)junction with $B(C_6F_5)$ ₃ as catalyst, 35 presumably with liberation of ethane, and this reaction could potentially be applied for deoxygenation in analog[y t](#page-6-0)o the approach described herein. Hendrickson employed a phosphonium anhydride reagent, $(\text{Ph}_3\text{P}^+)_2\text{O}$ $2(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3^-)$, to convert alcohols into highly reactive triphenylphosphonium derivatives that could be reduced in situ with sodium borohydride.³⁶ Earlier, Haley et al.³⁷ had activated neryl and geranyl alcohols as their diphenylphosphate esters and found that these sub[str](#page-6-0)ates could be reduce[d to](#page-6-0) the corresponding alkadienes with sodium borohydride in a hot mixture of aqueous sodium hydroxide and diglyme. The main products were consistent with S_N2 substitution, but small amounts of allylically rearranged and cyclized hydrocarbons formed, likely the result of carbocation formation in the

reaction medium. This promising observation was not further explored as a general approach to deoxygenation.

We found that the phosphorylation−reduction sequence using LiBHEt₃ proceeds smoothly at room temperature in THF, can be effected in one pot, gives high yields of deoxygenated primary alcohols, and can selectively dealkylate primary over secondary alcohols. As such, the diphenyl phosphate derivative provides a convenient general alternative to halides and sulfonate esters for nucleophilic deoxygenation.

Our first model substrate was 6-phenylhexanol (4). Treatment of this alcohol with diphenyl chlorophosphate and DMAP in dichloromethane generated the desired phosphotriester, which after isolation was treated with 1.7 equiv of $LiBHEt₃$ in THF. To our gratification, the reduction proceeded to completion within 5 h at room temperature, affording hexylbenzene in 82% isolated yield over two steps (based on alcohol 4). Given this initial success, we undertook a broader study of the reaction's scope and sought to streamline the experimental procedures. Our study included a set of primary alcohols (Table 1) with different steric and chemical environments, secondary alcohols, and a steroidal diol containing both primary and secondary hydroxyl groups.

We first examined compatibility of the process with primary amides and urethanes. The N-Boc and N-2,4-dimethoxybenzoyl derivatives of 6-aminohexan-1-ol (6 and 8, respectively) were deoxygenated cleanly. In these cases, > 2 equiv of hydride were required to cause complete reduction due to an acid−base reaction with the amide. The rapid deprotonation of primary

Table 1. Deoxygenation of Representative Primary Alcohols

a Based on recovered substrate.

amides by $LiHBEt₃$ is well-known, as is the consequent sluggishness of nucleophilic attack at the amide carbonyl.³⁸ Although the amidate ion could hypothetically initiate side reactions, such as nucleophilic attack at phosphorus or carb[on](#page-6-0) (e.g, cyclization of 10 to N-Boc-piperidine), no evidence of such processes was observed.

Having successfully employed the deoxygenation protocol on several unhindered primary alcohols, we next examined reduction of a model allylic alcohol, the monobenzyl ether of *cis*-butene-1,4-diol,³⁹ to assess whether S_N^2 substitution was competitive with S_N2. Application of the phosphorylationreduction protoco[l t](#page-6-0)o this substrate afforded the S_N^2 product 13. Though the yield was modest (59%; 64% based on recovered starting 12), no evidence of S_N^2 reduction or elimination products was detected.

A β-branched alcohol, glucopyranoside 14, was next examined to test the applicability of this method on a sterically crowded primary alcohol. The substrate 14 was first converted to corresponding phosphate, and the resultant phosphate was treated with $LiHBEt₃$ at room temperature. Gratifyingly, the desired 6-deoxy sugar 15 was obtained in 70% yield. This example illustrates that reaction is effective with sterically hindered primary alcohols and provides access to 6-deoxy sugars.

We proceeded to investigate the effectiveness of the procedure on secondary alcohols. 2-Octanol was converted in high yield to the corresponding diphenylphosphate. Reaction of this compound with LiHBEt₃ for 4 h at room temperature produced n-octane as the major product, but conversion was poor (44% by GC using n-decane as an internal standard, Scheme 2).

Two cyclic secondary alcohols, exo-norborneol and (1S,2R,5S)-menthol, were likewise converted to diphenyl phosphate derivatives. After treatment with $LiHBEt₃$ in THF, no deoxygenated products could be detected, and unreacted starting materials were recovered. A third secondary alcohol, diacetone glucose (1,2:5,6-di-O-isopropylidene-α-D-glucofuranose), was likewise readily phosphorylated with 3 but produced an intractable mixture upon reduction. We subsequently attempted to make the pinacol phosphate of diacetone glucose using either pinacolyl chlorophosphate or pinacolyl chlorophosphite. However, the products proved too unstable to isolate and purify, consistent with the known lability of pinacol phosphates.⁴⁰ Thus, deoxygenation of secondary alcohols via derived diphenyl phosphates may proceed with limited success for unhind[ere](#page-6-0)d substrates, but is likely to fail completely for hindered ones.

Having found as expected that the reduction is sluggish with hindered secondary systems, we sought to determine whether it was possible to deoxygenate a primary alcohol selectively in the presence of a secondary alcohol. We chose lithocholanyl alcohol 19, formed by reduction of lithocholic acid with $LiAlH₄$, as a test substrate. Compound 19 was first bisphosphorylated with diphenyl chlorophosphate, and after isolation, the crude bis-phosphate was subjected to treatment with $LiHBEt₃$. In accordance with expectation, only the primary phosphate was reduced under the reaction conditions. The secondary phosphate remained intact, affording monophosphorylated compound 20 as the only product (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3. Selective Reduction of a Primary Phosphate Ester

^aConditions: (1) 3, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 3 h; (2) LiHBEt₃, THF, rt, 4.5 h.

In order to simplify the two-step phosphorylation− deoxygenation process, we explored development of a onepot protocol (Scheme 4). Success was realized with a switch to THF as solvent with n -BuLi as base for the phosphorylation reaction. Thu[s, a solutio](#page-3-0)n of diol 19 in THF was treated with 2.1 equiv of n-BuLi, followed by 2.1 equiv of diphenylphosphoryl chloride to effect bis-phosphorylation. After 2 h, LiHBEt₃ (1.3 equiv) was added, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 14 h to effect selective reduction of the primary phosphate. The crude product was treated with sodium bis(2-methoxyethoxy)aluminum hydride (1.2 equiv) to cleave the secondary phosphate group, liberating the secondary alcohol 21 in 82% overall yield for the three steps. This last sequence of reactions $(21 \rightarrow 22)$ illustrates the clear dichotomy between boron hydrides, which reduce carbon $(19 \rightarrow 20)$, and aluminum hydrides, which reduce phosphorus ($20 \rightarrow 21$). It further illustrates how the phosphate ester can be used as a protecting group for alcohols.

Primary alcohols can be deoxygenated cleanly and in highyield by reduction of their diphenyl phosphate esters with $LiBHEt₃$ in THF at room temperature. The two-step procedure can be effected in a one-pot process that is environmentally friendly in that no halogenated solvents are required for the reaction or workup, and in that no heating or cooling is required. The process works less well with simple secondary alcohols and fails with hindered ones. Selective deoxygenation of a primary alcohol in the presence of a secondary one was readily effected. Overall, diphenyl phosphate esters are a readily accessible and useful alternative to sulfonate esters and halides for deoxygenation of primary alcohols.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. All reactions were run in glassware sealed with rubber septa and stirred magnetically under nitrogen unless otherwise specified. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and methylene chloride (DCM) were dried by use of a sorbent-based purification system. Other commercial reagents and solvents were used without purification. Flash chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 (40−63 μm particle size), and TLC was performed on silica gel 60 F254 plates (glass-backed, 0.25 mm layer thickness). TLC chromatograms were visualized with iodine vapor or KMnO4. NMR spectra were recorded at the indicated frequencies and were calibrated using internal tetramethylsilane or solvent peaks (CHCl₃ = δ 7.27, CDCl₃ = δ 77.23). High-resolution mass spectra were obtained using electrospray ionization (ESI) with either a quadrupole−time-of-flight (QTOF) or a Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FTICR) mass spectrometer.

Scheme 4. Selective Deoxygenation of a Primary Alcohol Employing One-Pot Phosphorylation−Reduction Procedure

1-Phenylhexane (5). To a stirred solution of 6-phenylhexan-1-ol (4, 0.1095 g, 0.6142 mmol) and DMAP (22 mol%, 0.1359 mmol, 0.1665 g) in 4 mL of THF under nitrogen was added triethylamine (1.2 equiv, 0.5609 mmol, 0.08 mL) followed by diphenyl phosphoryl chloride (1.2 equiv, 0.7370 mmol, 0.15 mL) at room temperature. After completion of the reaction (3 h), the reaction mixture was concentrated. The residue was taken up in ethyl acetate and washed with water, 1N NaHCO₃, and brine. The organic layer was dried with $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated in vacuo to give phosphoric acid (6-phenyl hexyl) diphenyl ester. The crude product was dissolved in THF (4 mL) and LiHBEt₃ (1.0 M in THF; 1.7 equiv, 1.0441 mmol, 1.0 mL). The reaction was monitored by TLC, and upon consumption of the starting material, the reaction was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc $(5x)$. The combined organic layer was dried with Na₂SO₄, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product. Purification by flash chromatography using hexanes:ethyl acetate $(6:1)$ afforded 0.0819 g $(0.505 \text{ mmol}, 82\%)$ of the product: R_f 0.89 (hexanes:EtOAc 1:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 7.22−7.19 (m, 2H), 7.12−7.08 (m, 3H), 2.53 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 1.57−1.48 (m, 2H), 1.35−1.20 (m, 6H), 0.81 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 143.2, 128.6, 128.4, 125.7, 36.2, 31.9, 31.7, 29.9, 29.2, 22.8, 14.3. NMR data are consistent with those reported in the Spectral Database for Organic Compounds (SDBS).⁴

N-(6-Hydroxyhexyl)-2,4-dimethoxybenzamide (6). To a stirred solution of 6-aminohexanol (0.1168 g, 0.9969 mmol) and triethylamine (1.0 equiv, 0.14 mL) in DCM (4 mL) was added dropwise over 1 h a solution of 2,4-dimethoxybenzoyl chloride (1.0 equiv, 0.2 g, 0.9969 mmol) in 2 mL of DCM at 0 °C under nitrogen. After 3 h, the reaction was quenched with water, and the organic layer was evaporated off. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (3×), and the combined organic layer was then dried with anhydrous Na2SO4 and filtered. Evaporation of the solvent afforded the crude product, which upon purification by flash chromatography using DCM:methanol (93:7) as eluent afforded 0.2366 g (0.8409 mmol, 84%) of the product as white solid: $R_{\rm f}$ 0.43 (DCM:methanol 95:5); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 8.15 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (br t, J \approx 6 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.46 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 3.92 $(s, 3H)$, 3.83 $(s, 3H)$, 3.62 $(t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H)$, 3.43 $(q, J = 5.8 Hz,$ 2H), 2.13 (br s, 1H), 1.64−1.52 (4H, m), 1.46−1.35 (4H, m); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 165.3, 163.4, 158.9, 134.0, 114.8, 105.4, 98.7, 62.7, 56.1, 55.7, 39.5, 32.8, 29.8, 26.8, 25.4; HRMS (ESI− QTOF) calcd for $C_{15}H_{23}NO_4Na$ [M+Na] 304.1525, found 304.1523.

Phosphoric Acid, 6-(2,4-Dimethoxybenzamido)hexyl Diphenyl Ester (Diphenyl Phosphate of 6). To a stirred solution of N- $(6$ hydroxyhexyl)-2,4-dimethoxybenzamide (6, 0.1196 g, 0.4251 mmol) and DMAP (2.0 equiv, 0.1039 g, 0.8502 mmol) in DCM (7.5 mL) was added diphenylphosphoryl chloride (2.0 equiv, 0.8502 mmol, 0.18 mL), and the reaction mixture was stirred under nitrogen at room temperature. After 2 h, the solvent was evaporated. Ethyl acetate and water were added, and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was washed with ethyl acetate $(2x)$, and the combined organic layer was washed with water, saturated $NAHCO₃$, and brine; then dried with Na₂SO₄; and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (DCM:methanol, 9:1) afforded 0.1878 g (86%) of the product as colorless oil: IR (neat) 3412, 3070, 2937, 2857, 1652, 1605, 1533, 1489, 1463, 1284, 1264, 1209, 1190, 1165, 1010, 951, 768 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 8.18 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (br t, J \approx 6 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 4H), 7.23−7.16 (m, 6H), 6.59 (dd, J = 6.5, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.47 (d, J $= 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.25 (q, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.41 (q, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 1.72 (p, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.57 (p, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.41-1.23 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 165.2, 163.3, 158.8, 150.7 (d, J = 6.8 Hz), 134.0, 129.9, 125.4 (d, J = 5.2 Hz), 120.2 (d, $J = 5$ Hz), 114.8, 105.3, 98.7, 69.4 (d, $J = 6.5$ Hz), 56.0, 55.6, 39.6, 30.2 (d, J = 6.7 Hz) 29.7, 26.6, 25.2; HRMS (ESI−QTOF) calcd for $C_{27}H_{32}NO_7PNa$ [M+Na] 536.1814, found 536.1828.

N-Hexyl-2,4-dimethoxybenzamide (7). To a stirred solution of phosphoric acid 6-(2,4-dimethoxybenzamido)hexyl diphenyl ester (diphenyl phosphate of 6) (0.0951 g, 0.1852 mmol) in THF (1.3 mL) was added LiEt₃BH (1.0 M solution in THF; 1.3 equiv, 0.2410 mmol, 0.24 mL) at room under nitrogen. After 1 h, TLC showed a trace of the starting material. Another 0.24 mL of LiEt₃BH solution was added, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature. After 1.5 h the reaction was judged complete by TLC, and it was quenched by addition of water. The mixture was acidified so that the aqueous layer was at pH 1 and extracted with EtOAc (3×). The combined EtOAc layer was dried with Na₂SO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography (DCM:MeOH, 9:1) afforded 0.0491 g (~100%) of the product as colorless oil: IR (neat) 3413, 2953, 2928, 2855, 1727, 1650, 1606, 1536, 1498, 1438, 1309, 1264, 1209, 1169, 1104, 835 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 8.20 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (br s, 1H), 6.59 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.44 (td, J = 5.6, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 1.60 (p, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.44−1.28 (m, 6H), 0.90 (t, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 165.2, 163.3, 158.9, 134.0, 114.9, 105.3, 98.7, 56.0, 55.7, 39.8, 31.7, 29.8, 27.0, 22.8, 14.2.

Phosphoric Acid 6-(tert-Butoxycarboxamido)hexyl Diphenyl Ester (Diphenyl Phosphate of 8). To a stirred solution of 6-(tertbutoxycarboxamido)hexanol (8, 0.3805 g, 1.7509 mmol) and DMAP (2.0 equiv, 3.5018 mmol, 0.4277 g) in DCM (30 mL) was added diphenyl phosphoryl chloride (2.0 equiv, 3.5018 mmol, 0.73 mL) at room temperature under nitrogen. The reaction went to completion within 1 h, after which it was concentrated and the residue was taken up in ethyl acetate (40 mL) and water (15 mL). The ethyl acetate layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (15 mL). The combined ethyl acetate layer was washed sequentially with water, 1N NaHCO $_3$, and brine; then dried with $Na₂SO₄$; and evaporated to dryness to afford the target compound as a

white solid (0.7507 g, 1.6701 mmol, 95%): R_f 0.2 hexanes: EtOAc 2:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 7.37–7.28 (m, 4H), 7.24–7.11 (m, 6H), 4.63 (br s, 1H), 4.22 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.05 (br q, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 1.67 (p, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 1.43 (s, 9H) 1.48−1.36 (m, 2H), 1.36− 1.18 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 156.1, 150.6 (d, J = 7.3 Hz), 129.8, 125.4, 120.1 (d, $J = 4.8$ Hz), 79.0, 69.3 (d, $J = 6.7$ Hz), 40.4, 30.1 (d, J = 6.7 Hz), 30.0, 28.5, 26.2, 25.1; HRMS (ESI−FTICR) calcd for $C_{23}H_{33}NO_6P$ [M+H]⁺ 450.20455, found 450.20352.

6-(tert-Butoxycarboxamido)hexane (9). A solution of phosphoric acid 6-(tert-butoxycarboxamido)hexyl diphenyl ester (diphenylphosphate of 8) (0.0540 g, 0.1201 mmol) in THF (0.8 mL) was treated with LiHBEt₃ (1.0 M solution in THF; 1.2 equiv, 0.14 mmol, 0.14 mL) at room temperature. The progress of the reaction slowed after 1 h. After 2 h, an additional 0.14 mL of LiHBEt₃ solution was added. When the remaining starting material had been consumed (3 h), the reaction was cooled to 0 °C and quenched by addition of water. The aqueous layer was extracted with hexanes $(2 \times 1 \text{ mL})$, and the combined organic layer was dried with $Na₂SO₄$ then concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography using hexanes:ethyl acetate (6:1) as mobile phase to afford 0.0242 g (\sim 100%) of the desired product 9 as clear oil: R_f 0.70 (hexanes:EtOAc 2:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 4.51 (br s, 1H), 3.11 (br q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.55−1.38 (m, 2H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.32− 1.21 (m, 6H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 156.0, 79.0, 40.6, 31.5, 30.0, 28.4, 26.5, 22.6, 14.0. NMR data are consistent with those reported previously.⁴

5-(tert-Butoxycarboxamido)pentane (11). A solution of 5-(tertbutoxycarboxamido)pentyl alcohol (10, 0.0976 g, 0.480 mmol) and DMAP (2.1 equiv, 1.0106 mmol, 0.1234 g) in 5 mL of DCM was treated with diphenyl phosphoryl chloride (2.0 equiv, 0.9839 mmol, 0.20 mL) at room temperature. After 2 h, the reaction was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate. The solution was washed with water, $1N$ NaHCO₃, and brine. After drying with $Na₂SO₄$, the organic layer was evaporated in vacuo. The crude phosphoric acid 5-(tert-butoxycarboxamido)pentyl diphenyl ester was dissolved in THF (3 mL). To the stirred solution was added LiHBEt₃ (1.0 M in THF, 1.7 equiv., 0.8362 mmol, 0.84 mL) at room temperature. After 5 h, TLC showed the presence of some unreacted starting material, so an additional 0.14 mL of LiHBEt₃ solution was added. When the remaining starting material had been consumed (14 h), the reaction was cooled to 0 $^{\circ}$ C and quenched by addition of water. The aqueous layer was extracted with hexanes, and the combined organic layer was dried with $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography using hexanes:ethyl acetate (4:1) to afford 0.0648 g (0.3460 mmol, 72%) of the desired product 11 as a clear oil: R_f 0.75 (hexanes/EtOAc 2/1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 4.55 (br s, 1H), 3.09 (br q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.50−1.38 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 1.36−1.20 (m, 4H), 0.88 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 156.2, 79.2, 40.8, 29.9, 29.2, 28.6, 22.5, 14.2. NMR data are consistent with those reported previously.⁴³

(Z)-1-Benzyloxy-2-butene (13). To a round-bottom flask containing a stir bar, (Z)-4-benzyloxybut-2-en-1-ol (12, 0.1590 g, 0.8928 mmol; prepared as described³⁹) and DMAP (20 mol%, 0.1785 mmol, 0.0218 g) was added 10 mL of DCM followed by triethylamine (1.1 equiv., 0.9821 mmol, 0.14 m[L\)](#page-6-0) and diphenyl phosphoryl chloride (1.2 equiv, 1.0714 mmol, 0.22 mL). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 h, after which the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Ethyl acetate was added, and the organic layer was sequentially washed with water, $1N$ NaHCO₃, and brine. The organic layer was dried with $Na₂SO₄$, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude material was dissolved in 6 mL of THF, treated with LiHBEt₃ (1.0 M in THF, 1.7 equiv., 1.5178 mmol, 1.5 mL), and stirred at room temperature. After 6 h the reaction was quenched by addition of water, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (5 \times). The combined organic layer was dried with Na₂SO₄, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography using hexanes:EtOAc gave unreacted starting material (0.0132 g, 0.0740 mmol) along with pure but-2-enyloxymethylbenzene (0.0856g, 0.5276 mmol) as clear oil in 59% yield (64% based on recovered starting material): R_f 0.42 (hexanes:EtOAc 8:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 7.39−7.27 (m, 5H), 5.75−5.60 (m, 2H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 4.10 (dp, $J = 6.3$, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 1.66 (br d, $J = 7.5$ 6.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 138.6, 128.6, 128.3, 128.0, 127.8, 127.0, 72.3, 65.6, 13.4. NMR data are consistent with those reported previously.⁴⁴

Methyl 2,3,4-Tri-O-(benzyl)-β-D-glucopyranoside, Diphenyl Phosphate (Diphenyl Phosphate of 14). To a round-bottom flask containing a stir bar, methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-(benzyl)-β-D-glucopyranoside (0.0427 g, 0.0919 mmol) and DMAP (2.0 equiv, 0.1838 mmol, 0.224 g) was added DCM (1.0 mL) at room temperature under nitrogen. Diphenyl phosphoryl chloride (2.0 equiv, 0.1838 mmol, 0.4 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature. After completion of the reaction (2 h) the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed with water. The water layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3x)$, and the combined organic layer was washed with 1N NaHCO₃, water, and brine, and then dried over Na₂SO₄. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure gave the crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography using hexanes:ethyl acetate (3:1) to afford 0.0555 g $(0.0797 \text{ mmol}, 87%)$ of the desired compound: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 7.37–7.12 (m, 25H), 4.92 (dd, J = 11.0, 6.9 Hz, 2H), 4.78 (dd, $J = 10.8$, 4.3 Hz, 2H), 4.70 (d, $J = 11.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.53 (ddd, J = 10.0, 6.4, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, 1H, J = 10.8 Hz), 4.40– 4.33 (m, 1H), 4.31 (d, 1H, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 3.65 (t, 1H, $J = 3.4$ Hz), 3.51 $(s, 3H)$, 3.55–3.46 (m, 2H), 3.40 (dd, J = 7.9, 0.9 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, \delta)$ 150.65 $(d, J = 7.4 \text{ Hz})$, 150.58 $(d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz})$, 138.53, 138.49, 137.8, 129.91, 129.87, 128.6, 128.53, 128.51, 128.22, 128.17, 128.08, 127.95, 127.83, 127.80, 125.55 (d, J = 4.8 Hz), 125.50 $(d, J = 4.8 \text{ Hz})$, 120.32 $(d, J = 5.0 \text{ Hz})$, 120.25 $(d, J = 4.8 \text{ Hz})$, 104.6, 84.5, 82.3, 77.1, 75.8, 75.2, 74.9, 73.5 (d, J = 7.9 Hz), 67.7 (d, J = 5.5 Hz), 57.1; HRMS (ESI–FTICR) calcd for $C_{40}H_{41}O_{9}PNa$ [M+Na]⁺ 719.23859, found 719.23795.

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\text{BnO} \\
\hline\n\text{BnO}\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{O} \\
\text{OBn}\n\end{array}\n\qquad\n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{OMe} \\
\text{OBe} \\
\end{array}
$$

Methyl 6-Deoxy-3,4,5-tri-O-benzyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (15). To a stirred solution of methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-(benzyl)-β-D-glucopyranoside, diphenyl phosphate (diphenylphosphate of 14, 0.0383 g, 0.0550 mmol) in THF (0.38 mL), and o -xylene (0.1 mL) was added LiEt₃BH (1.0 M solution in THF; 1.8 equiv, 0.1 mmol, 0.1 mL) at room under nitrogen. After 1 h, TLC showed unreacted starting material, so another 0.15 mL of LiEt₃BH solution was added. After 4 h, the reaction was complete, as evidenced by TLC, it was quenched by addition of water, and then extracted with ethyl acetate $(3x)$. The combined organic layer was dried with $Na₂SO₄$, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography using hexanes:ethyl acetate (8:1) afforded 0.0173 g (0.0386 mmol, 70%) of the product as colorless oil: R_f 0.28 hexanes:EtOAc 8:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 7.37–7.28 $(m, 15H)$, 4.94 $(d, J = 10.7 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 4.93 $(d, J = 11.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 4.89 $(d, J = 10.9$ Hz, 1H), 4.81 $(d, J = 10.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.72 $(d, J = 11.0$ Hz,

1H), 4.64 (d, J = 10.8, 1H), 4.30 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.58 (s, 3H), 3.45−3.37 (m, 2H), 3.21 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 1.33 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 138.8, 138.3, 128.7, 128.6, 128.31, 128.27, 128.1, 128.06, 127.9, 104.8, 84.6, 83.6, 82.8, 75.9, 75.6, 74.9, 71.3, 57.3, 18.1. NMR data are consistent with those reported previously.⁴

Phosphoric Acid 1-Methylheptyl Diphenyl Ester. To a roundbottom flask containing a stir bar, (\pm) -2-octanol (0.3 g, 2.304 mmol), and DMAP (2 equiv, 4.608 mmol, 0.5630 g) was added DCM (38 mL) under nitrogen. Diphenyl chlorophosphate (2.0 equiv, 5.630 g, 0.95 mL) was added at room temperature to the stirred solution After 1.5 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in EtOAc; washed with water, $1N$ NaHCO₃, and brine; and then dried with $Na₂SO₄$. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded the crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography using hexanes:ethyl acetate (4:1) to give the desired compound as colorless oil (0.7515 g, 2.0736 mmol) in 89% yield: R_f 0.74 hexanes:EtOAc 2:1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.33 (br t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 4H), 7.23 (br d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 4H), 7.17 (br t, $J =$ 7.3 Hz, 2H), 4.73 (sep, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 1.73−1.62 (m, 1H), 1.56− 1.48 (m, 1H), 1.34 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 1.32−1.14 (m, 8H), 0.86 (t, 3H, J = 6.9 Hz); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.88 (d, J = 7.2 Hz), 150.86 (d, J = 7.2 Hz), 129.8, 125.3, 120.29 (d, J = 5.1 Hz), 120.26 (d, J = 5.0 Hz), 78.7 (d, J = 6.7 Hz), 37.5 (d, J = 6.4 Hz), 31.8, 29.1, 25.1, 22.7, 21.7 (d, J = 3.3 Hz), 14.2; HRMS (ESI−QTOF) calcd for $C_{20}H_{27}NaO_4P$ [M+Na] 385.1545, found 385.1545.

Octane. To a stirred solution of phosphoric acid 1-methylheptyl diphenyl ester (0.0334 g, 0.0922 mmol) in THF (0.6 mL) was added dropwise at room temperature LiEt₃BH (1.0 M in THF, 2.2 equiv, 0.2027 mmol, 0.20 mL). Upon completion of the reaction in 4 h, the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $NH₄Cl$, and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (3×). The combined organic layer (the total volume 4.5 mL) was dried with $Na₂SO₄$. An aliquot of 38 μ L was diluted with DCM to 2 mL, and the solution was subjected to GC analysis using decane as internal standard. Octane was produced in 44% yield.

(3α,5β)-Cholan-3-ol, Diphenyl Phosphate (20). To a stirred solution of $(3\alpha,5\beta)$ -cholane-3,24-diol $(19, 0.1000 \text{ g}, 0.2655 \text{ mmol})$ and DMAP (1.0 equiv, 0.2655 mmol, 0.0324g) in DCM (7.5 mL) was added triethylamine (2.2 equiv, 0.5841 mmol, 0.08 mL) and diphenyl phosphoryl chloride (2.4 equiv., 0.6372 mmol, 0.13 mL) at room temperature. Upon completion of the reaction (3 h), the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in ethyl acetate, and then washed with water, $1N$ NaHCO₃, and brine. After drying with Na_2SO_4 , the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude bisphosphate was dissolved in 1.6 mL of THF and treated with $LiEt₃BH$ (1.0 M solution in THF; 1.7 equiv, 0.4513 mmol, 0.45 mL) at room temperature under nitrogen. After 3 h, TLC showed a trace of the starting material, so another 0.20 mL of LiEt₃BH solution was added. After an additional 1.5 h, the reaction was quenched by addition of water and extracted with ethyl acetate $(5x)$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried with Na₂SO₄ before the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was repeatedly dissolved in methanol and evaporated under reduced pressure to remove residual borate. Purification by flash chromatography (hexanes:ethyl acetate, 5:1) afforded 0.0966 g (61%) of the product as colorless oil: R_f 0.82 hexanes:EtOAc 5:1); IR 3067, 2937, 2865, 1591, 1489, 1193, 1015, 951, 689 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 7.36−7.32 (m, 4H), 7.25−7.16 (m, 6 H), 4.50−4.63 (m, 1H), 2.06−1.94 (m, 2H), 1.90−1.78 (m, 4H), 1.71−1.62 (m, 1H), 1.61− 1.52 (m, 2H), 1.46−1.32 (m, 8H), 1.31−0.95 (m, 11H), 0.92 (s, 3H), 0.91 (d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 3H), 0.88 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3H), 0.65 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 150.8 (d, J = 7.2 Hz), 129.9, 125.3, 120.30 (d, $J = 5.0$ Hz), 120.27 (d, $J = 5.0$ Hz), 81.3 (d, $J = 6.6$ Hz), 56.5, 56.5, 42.8, 42.2, 40.6, 40.3, 38.5, 35.9, 35.7, 35.1, 34.6, 34.1 (d, J = 4.4 Hz), 28.6 (d, J = 4.4 Hz), 28.5, 27.1 26.4, 24.4, 23.4, 21.0, 19.4, 18.8, 14.8, 12.2; HRMS (ESI–QTOF) calcd for $C_{36}H_{51}NaO_4P$ [M +Na] 601.3423, found 601.3444.

(3 α ,5 β)-Cholan-3-ol (21). To a solution of (3 α ,5 β)-cholane-3,24diol (0.0550 g, 0.1517 mmol) in 1.5 mL of THF was added dropwise at room temperature n-BuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 2.1 equiv, 0.3212 mmol, 0.13 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. Some white precipitate formed, and additional THF (0.5 mL) was added. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was treated with diphenyl chlorophosphate (2.1 equiv, 0.3212 mmol, 0.067 mL) at room temperature, which led to dissolution of the precipitate. After 2 h at room temperature, LiEt₃BH (1.0 M in THF, 1.32 equiv, 0.2 mmol, 0.2 mL) was added, and the mixture was left to stir overnight. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl and extracted with ethyl acetate (7 \times). After drying with Na₂SO₄, the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude phosphate was dissolved in 1.5 mL of dry toluene, cooled to 0 $^{\circ}$ C, and treated with sodium bis(2methoxyethoxy)aluminum hydride (65 wt% solution in toluene, 0.28 mL), and the mixture was gradually warmed to room temperature. The reaction was quenched with water, followed by slow addition of 10% HCl at 0 °C until all solids dissolved The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(3x)$. After drying with Na_2SO_4 , the combined organic layer was concentrated in vacuo to afford cholanol 21 as colorless solid 0.0448 g (0.1242 mmol, 82%): $\mathrm{^{1}H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, δ) 3.68–3.58 (m, 1H), 1.98 (dt, J = 12.0, 3.0, 1H), 1.92−1.71 (m, 4H), 1.70−0.94 (m, 24H), 0.93 (s, 3H), 0.90 $(d, J = 6.6 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H})$, 0.87 $(t, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H})$, 0.65 $(s, 3\text{H})$; ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, \delta)$ 72.1, 56.7, 56.6, 42.9, 42.3, 40.7, 40.4, 38.5, 36.7, 36.1, 35.8, 35.6, 34.8, 30.8, 28.5, 27.4, 26.6, 24.5, 23.6, 21.0, 19.4, 18.8, 14.8, 12.2. NMR data are consistent with those reported previously.¹

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

8 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b01699.

> ¹H and ¹³C spectra for the following (includes all novel [componds\):](http://pubs.acs.org) 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 20, 21[, and t](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.6b01699)he diphenyl phosphates of 6, 8, 14, and 2-octanol (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*standaertrf@ornl.gov

Present Address

[⊥][Fred Hutchinson Can](mailto:standaertrf@ornl.gov)cer Research Center, 1100 Fairview Ave. N., Seattle, WA 98109, U.S.A.

Notes

This article has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC05−00OR22725 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The United States Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Note

that the United States Government retains a nonexclusive, paidup, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this article, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes. The Department of Energy will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan). The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ A[CKNOWLEDGMENTS](http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan)

This work was sponsored in part by the Laboratory Directed Research and Development Program of Oak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract number DE-AC05- 00OR22725 and in part by the University of Illinois at Chicago. We thank Todd Schweir, Ilya Seregin, and Stepan Chuprakov for assistance with GC-MS analyses. We thank Dr. Jamie Messman for critical review of the manuscript.

■ REFERENCES

(1) McCombie, S. W. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 8, Ch. 4.2, pp 811−826.

(2) Larock, R. C. Comprehensive Organic Transformations, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 1999; Ch. 1.9, pp 44−53.

(3) Sutherland, A. G. In Comprehensive Organic Functional Group Transformations II; Katritzky, A. R., Taylor, R. J. K., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2005; Vol. 1, Ch. 1.01, pp 1−30.

(4) Wicha, J. Sci. Synth. 2009, 48, 163.

(5) Barton, D. H. R.; McCombie, S. W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1975, 1574.

(6) Hartwig, W. Tetrahedron 1983, 39, 2609.

(7) Crich, D.; Quintero, L. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1413.

(8) Chatgilialoglu, C.; Ferreri, C. Res. Chem. Intermed. 1993, 19, 755.

(9) Zard, S. Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 672.

(10) Barton, D. H. R.; Hartwig, W.; Motherwell, R. S. H.; Motherwell, W. B.; Stange, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2019.

(11) Barton, D. H. R.; Crich, D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1984, 774.

(12) Barton, D. H. R.; Motherwell, W. B.; Stange, A. Synthesis 1981, 1981, 743.

(13) Barton, D. H. R.; Doo, J. O.; Jaszberenyi, J. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 4681.

(14) Lopez, R. M.; Hays, D. S.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 6949.

(15) Myers, A. G.; Movassaghi, M.; Zheng, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 8572.

(16) McCallum, T.; Slavko, E.; Morin, M.; Barriault, L. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 2015, 81.

(17) Nguyen, J. D.; Reiß, B.; Dai, C.; Stephenson, C. R. J. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 4352.

(18) Rackl, D.; Kais, V.; Kreitmeier, P.; Reiser, O. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2014, 10, 2157.

(19) Gaylord, G. N. Reduction with Complex Metal Hydrides; Interscience: New York, 1956; Ch. 13.10, pp 855−875; Ch 14.1, pp 889−916.

(20) Krishnamurthy, S.; Brown, H. C. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 3064.

(21) Hutchins, R. O.; Maryanoff, B. E.; Milewski, C. A. J. Chem. Soc. D 1971, 1097.

(22) Carey, F. A.; Tremper, H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 758.

(23) Adlington, M. G.; Orfanopoulos, M.; Fry, J. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 17, 2955.

(24) Yasuda, M.; Onishi, Y.; Ueba, M.; Miyai, T.; Baba, A. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 7741.

(25) Gevorgyan, V.; Rubin, M.; Benson, S.; Liu, J. X.; Yamamoto, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 6179.

(26) Nimmagadda, R. D.; McRae, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 5755.

(27) Dang, H.; Cox, N.; Lalic, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 752.

(28) Chowdhury, S.; Muni, N. J.; Greenwood, N. P.; Pepperberg, D.

R.; Standaert, R. F. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007, 17, 3745. (29) Zhang, L. M.; Koreeda, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13190.

(30) Lam, K.; Markó, I. E. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 406.

(31) Kenner, G. W.; Williams, N. R. J. Chem. Soc. 1955, 522.

(32) Fetizon, M.; Jurion, M.; Anh, N. T. J. Chem. Soc. D 1969, 0, 112a.

(33) Ireland, R. E.; Hengartner, U.; Muchmore, D. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 5098.

(34) Ireland, R. E.; Pfister, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1969, 10, 2145.

(35) Denis, J. M.; Forintos, H.; Szelke, H.; Keglevich, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 5569.

(36) Hendrickson, J. B.; Singer, M.; Hussoin, M. S. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 6913.

(37) Haley, R. C.; Miller, J. A.; Wood, H. C. S. J. Chem. Soc. C 1969, 264.

(38) Brown, H. C.; Kim, S. C.; Krishnamurthy, S. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1.

(39) Danishefsky, S.; Berman, E. M.; Ciufolini, M.; Etheredge, S. J.; Segmuller, B. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3891.

(40) Cox, J. R.; Newton, M. G. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2600.

(41) National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology. Spectral Database for Organic Compounds. http://sdbs. db.aist.go.jp (accessed 23 August 2016).

(42) Strazzolini, P.; Melloni, T.; Giumanini, A. G. Tetra[hedron](http://sdbs.db.aist.go.jp) 2001, 57, 9033.

[\(43\) Aubin](http://sdbs.db.aist.go.jp)eau, T.; Cossy, J. Chem. Commun. (Cambridge, U. K.) 2013, 49, 3303.

(44) Deyris, P.-A.; Caneque, T.; Wang, Y.; Retailleau, P.; Bigi, F.;

Maggi, R.; Maestri, G.; Malacria, M. ChemCatChem 2015, 7, 3266. (45) Bernet, B.; Vasella, A. Helv. Chim. Acta 1979, 62, 1990.